

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONTIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/998,510 11/30/2001 Larry W. Field C0013 EXAMINER 08/13/2004

21495 CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC

P O BOX 489 HICKORY, NC 28603

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3752

HOOK, JAMES F

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

O9/998,510

Examiner

James F. Hook

Applicant(s)

FIELD, LARRY W.

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

There final recordite	REPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [2	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(C)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .
	Claim(s) objected to: None.
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-7,11-16,18,23,24 and 28.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,8-10,17,19-22,25-27,29 and 30.
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	James F. Hook Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3752

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/998,510

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the prior art references, the references show an element which has an interior wall which encases a cable or wire which gives it an inner wall and an outer wall, it is immaterial what method is used to create such, what it is called, or what it is intended to be used for. The structure of this element is a duct or tube provided with a wire or cable therein. Such is not considered to be a rod unless one considers it a hollow rod containing a wire or cable therein which still would be a tube or duct as is known in the art. Applicants arguments are more detailed than the claim language and their limitations can support. A duct, duct tube, and tube are all considered the same type of structure, there is no more structure provided to a duct that isn't present in a duct tube or tube. The fact that structure is equivalent means that it is the same structure exists in the prior art as is presented by the current claim language, which is all that is required.